TABLE A-2 POST-EVALUATION USE OF CRITERIUM PLUS WITH PROPOSED REFINEMENTS

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3										
Goal	Criteria	#	Subcriteria	Purpose	Source	SCORE UNIT	Rating View	Rating Type	Rating Scale		Rating	Alternatives
							N/V/G	Quantitative or Qualitative	Worst Value	Best Value		
Long-term water quality solutions for EPA	Technical Performance	1	Level of phosphorus reduction	Determine level of phosphorus load reduction.	BSFS/ DMSTA	% Reduction in load	N	Quantitative	0%	100%	TBD	
				Determine level of phosphorus concentration reduction.		ppb	N	Quantitative	0 ppb	100 ppb	TBD	
		2	Operational Flexibility	Evaluate level of Peak Attenuation.	STSOC or PJ	-6 to -4; reduces operation flexibility -1 to +1; little or no influence +4 to +6; adds operation flexibility	N	Qualitative	-6	+6	TBD	
				Evaluate level of Storage capacity.			N	Qualitative	-6	+6	TBD	Alternative 1
				Evaluate effect on Green space & wild life habitat.			N	Qualitative	-6	+6	TBD	
		3	Resiliency to extreme conditions	Evaluate resiliency to fire	STSOC or PJ	-4 to -2; reduces resiliency -1 to +1; little or no influence +2 to +4; adds resiliency	N	Qualitative	-4	+4	TBD	
				Evaluate resiliency to flood and draught.			N	Qualitative	-4	+4	TBD	
				Evaluate resiliency to hurricanes.			N	Qualitative	-4	+4	TBD	
		4	Assessment of full-scale conditions	Assess potential to succeed in full-scale construction & operation.	STSOC or PJ	-4 to -2; scale-up problems anticipated -1 to +1; no scale-up problems anticipated +2 to +4; scale-up demonstrated	N	Qualitative	-4	+4	TBD	- Alternative 2
		5	Management of Side Streams	Assess level of effort required to manage side streams.	STSOC or PJ	-4 to -2; extensive effort, adverse impact 0; no management +2 to +4; net benefit	N	Qualitative	-4	+4	TBD	
	Environmental	1	Level of reduction in non- phosphorus parameters	Determine level of reduction in non—phosphorus parameters and compliance with existing water quality standards.	STSOC or PJ	-19 to +19; +1 for each parameter improved, -1 for each parameter worsened, 0 for no significant change	N	Qualitative	-19	+19	TBD	Alternation
	Economic	1	Private Cost	Determine private costs: Capital (design/engineering/equipment/land/construction & civil work) and O&M.	BSFS	\$, 50-yr. present worth	N	Quantitative	TBD	TBD	TBD	- Alternative 3
		2	Public Cost	Determine public costs: Capital (design/engineering/equipment/land/construction & civil work) and O&M.	BSFS	\$, 50-yr. present worth	N	Quantitative	TBD	TBD	TBD	
		3	Cost Effectiveness	Determine Cost Effectiveness: (Private + Public Costs) / Kg Phosphorus Removal.	BSFS	\$/Kg, 50-yr. present worth / Kg TP Removal	N	Quantitative	TBD	TBD	TBD	
Legend:	STSOC S EWCA E EPA E CERP (ND N BSFS E DMSTA E PJ F O&M (Supple Evergla Evergla Compre Not De Basin S Dynam Profess Operati	c/Verbal/Graphic mental Technology Standard of Comides Conservation Areas des Protection Area chensive Everglades Restoration Platined specific Feasibility Study ic Model for Stormwater Treatment A sional Judgement on & Maintenance letermined	n								